arXiv:hep-th/0401017v1 5 Jan 2004

Riemann Tensor of the Ambient Universe, the Dilaton and the Newton's Constant

Rossen I. Ivanov
Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences,
72 Tsarigradsko chaussee, Sofia – 1784, Bulgaria and
School of Electronic Engineering, Dublin City University, Ireland[*]

Emil M. Prodanov
School of Physical Sciences, Dublin City University, Ireland[†]

We investigate a four-dimensional world, embedded into a five-dimensional spacetime, and find the five-dimensional Riemann tensor via generalisation of the Gauss (–Codacci) equations. We then derive the generalised equations of the four-dimensional world and also show that the square of the dilaton field is equal to the Newton's constant. We find plausable constant and non-constant solutions for the dilaton.

PACS numbers: 04.50.+h, 04.20.Cv, 03.50.De, 98.80.-k

Since the pioneering works of Kaluza [1] and Klein [2], who unified gravitation with electromagnetism, the implications of possible extra dimensions to our world have been under intense investigation — see [3] for an extensive collection of papers on higher-dimensional unification. Jordan [4] and Thiry [5] used the equations of Kaluza-Klein's theory to show that the gravitational constant can be expressed as a dynamical field. A constant solution for the Newton's constant however is then allowed only if the square of the Maxwell electromagnetic tensor vanishes. Here we examine a *dual* set up in which this problem can be avoided. Based on the original Kaluza's model, we are here considering a general embedding of a four-dimensional world into a five-dimensional ambient spacetime. We derive a generalisation of Gauss (–Codacci) equations by utilising all degrees of freedom (the entire geometry) of the ambient spacetime and we also show how they affect the physics of the four-dimensional world. As a result we find a system of equations for the electromagnetic field, gravitational field and dilaton field. One of these equations is a plausable generalisation of gauge fixed Maxwell equations in the presence of a dilaton field. We also show that the square of the dilaton field is equal to (modulo numerical factors) the Newton's constant. The gauge freedom of the electromagnetic fields is transferred to a freedom in fixing the dilaton field. Apart from the constant solution for the dilaton, we give an example for a non-constant solution describing time-varying Newton's constant in an expanding universe (see also [6]–[11] and others). We also give a general formula for generating different solutions for the dilaton field.

We consider a four-dimensional world M, embedded into a five-dimensional spacetime V (see [12]–[17] and the references therein for a detailed discussion on embeddings). Let y^i $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ denote the coordinates on M and x^μ $(\mu = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ denote the coordinates on V. Greek indexes will be related to the five-dimensional spacetime V, while Latin indexes will be associated with the four-dimensional spacetime M. Let $\psi(x^\mu) = s = \mathrm{const}$ be the equation of the hypersuface M. One can alternatively express the parametric equations of M as $x^\mu = x^\mu(y^j, s)$ and treating the parameter s as a coordinate, this then represents a coordinate transformation with inverse:

$$y^j = y^j(x^\mu)\,, \quad s = \psi(x^\mu)\,. \tag{1}$$

We assume that this transformation is invertible at each point. This means that the Jacobi matrices of the transformation and its inverse have non-vanishing determinants everywhere. Thus, to globally parametrise the foliated five-dimensional spacetime V, it is sufficient to use the coordinates of the four-dimensional world M and the foliation parameter s.

The vector normal to the surface is:

$$N_\mu = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^\mu}\,. \tag{2}$$

Let us also define:

$$e^\mu_j \;=\; \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial y^j}\,, \qquad n^\mu = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial s}\,, \qquad E^i_\mu = \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial x^\mu}\,. \tag{3}$$

The derivatives are related as follows:

$$\partial_k \;\equiv\; \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k} \;=\; e^\mu_k\,\partial_\mu\,, \tag{4}$$

$$\partial_\nu \;\equiv\; \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \;=\; E^k_\nu\,\partial_k + N_\nu\,\partial_s\,. \tag{5}$$

Obviously, if we denote $e^\mu_5 = n^\mu$ and $E^5_\mu = N_\mu$, then (e^μ_ν) and (E^μ_ν) will be the Jacobi matrices of the transformation $(x^\mu) \to (y^i, s)$ and its inverse. Therefore:

$$e^\mu_\sigma E^\sigma_\nu = \delta^\mu_\nu = E^\mu_\sigma e^\sigma_\nu\,. \tag{6}$$

This orthogonality condition is equivalent to:

$$e^\mu_i E^j_\mu \;=\; \delta^j_i\,, \tag{7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_\mu n^\mu &= 1\,, && (8)\\ E^i_\mu e^\nu_i + N_\mu n^\nu &= \delta^\nu_\mu\,, && (9)\\ N_\mu\, e^\mu_j &= 0\,, && (10)\\ n^\mu E^j_\mu &= 0\,. && (11)\end{aligned}$$

(Note that $\delta^\mu_\mu = \dim \mathrm{V} = 5$ and $\delta^i_i = \dim \mathrm{M} = 4$.)
Thus the bases (e^μ_j, n^μ) and (E^j_μ, N_μ) are dual. They do not depend on the metric of either spacetime, but only depend on the particular embedding chosen.
Let us now introduce a scalar field $\phi(y^k, s)$, a vector field $A_i(y^k, s)$ and the metric tensor $g_{ij}(y^k, s)$ on M. We further define the metric $G_{\mu\nu}$ of the five-dimensional spacetime V as an expansion over the basis vectors E^i_μ and N_μ:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = E^i_\mu E^j_\nu\, g_{ij} + (N_\mu E^i_\nu + N_\nu E^i_\mu)A_i + N_\mu N_\nu \phi\,. \quad (12)$$

Taking $x^i = y^i\,,\ x^5 = s = \text{const}$, i.e. $e^\mu_i = \delta^\mu_i\,, n^\mu = \delta^\mu_5\,, E^i_\mu = \delta^i_\mu\,, N_\mu = \delta^5_\mu$ in (12) corresponds to the original Kaluza's model [1]. Klein's modification [2] $g_{ij} \to g_{ij} + A_i A_j$, together with the identification of ϕ as a dilaton is the model put forward by Jordan [4] and Thiry [5]. We note that the metric (12) has the same form as the inverse of the metric of Klein's model, thus the two theories are dual: Kaluza's model corresponds to slicing, while Klein's model corresponds to threading of the five-dimensional spacetime [15]. The case with $A_i = 0$ has also been considered (see, for example, [17], [18] and the references therein).
The lack of gauge invariance for the fields A_i, which we nevertheless will associate with the electromagnetic potentials, in view of the slicing–threading duality, is compensated by the freedom to fix ϕ. This, as will become claer later is the freedom to fix the dilaton field.
Returning to (12), we define g^{ij} as the inverse of the metric g_{ij}. Thus $A^i = g^{ij}A_j$ and $A^2 = g^{ij}A_i A_j$.
The inverse $G^{\mu\nu}$ of the metric $G_{\mu\nu}$ on V is then given by:

$$G^{\mu\nu} \;=\; h^{ij} e^\mu_i e^\nu_j - \theta A^i (e^\mu_i n^\nu + e^\nu_i n^\mu) + \theta n^\mu n^\nu\,, \quad (13)$$

where $\theta = (\phi - A^2)^{-1}$ and $h^{ij} = g^{ij} + \theta A^i A^j$. One can easily check that $G^{\mu\lambda}G_{\lambda\nu} = \delta^\mu_\nu$. Using the inverse $G^{\mu\nu}$ (13) of the metric $G_{\mu\nu}$, we can raise and lower five-dimensional indexes to get:

$$\begin{aligned} N^\mu &= G^{\mu\nu}N_\nu \;=\; \theta(n^\mu - A^i e^\mu_i)\,, && (14)\\ N^2 &= N_\mu N^\mu \;=\; \theta\,, && (15)\\ n_\mu &= G_{\mu\nu}n^\nu \;=\; A_i E^i_\mu + N_\mu \phi\,, && (16)\\ n^2 &= n_\mu n^\mu \;=\; \phi\,. && (17)\end{aligned}$$

Note that when $A^i = 0$ and $\phi = 1$, then $N^\mu = n^\mu$ as in the ADM approach [17].
The extrinsic curvature is:

$$K_{jl} \;=\; e^\mu_j e^\nu_l Q_{\mu\nu}\,, \quad (18)$$

where $Q_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu N_\nu = \nabla_\nu N_\mu$.
Multiplying (18) across by $E^j_\alpha E^l_\beta$ and applying the orthogonality conditions (7)–(11), one easily finds:

$$Q_{\alpha\beta} = E^i_\alpha E^j_\beta K_{ij} + (N_\alpha E^i_\beta + N_\beta E^i_\alpha) f_i + N_\alpha N_\beta\, \chi\,, \quad (19)$$

with $\chi = n^\mu n^\nu Q_{\mu\nu}$ and

$$f_j = n^\mu e^\beta_j Q_{\mu\beta} = A^i K_{ij} + \frac{1}{N}\partial_j N\,, \quad (20)$$

where $N = \sqrt{N_\mu N^\mu}$.
The four-dimensional Christoffel symbols

$$\gamma^i_{jk} = \frac{1}{2} g^{il}(\partial_k g_{lj} + \partial_j g_{lk} - \partial_l g_{jk}) \quad (21)$$

can then be expressed as:

$$\gamma^i_{jl} = (\partial_j e^\mu_l + e^\alpha_j e^\beta_l \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta})E^i_\mu - K_{jl}A^i\,, \quad (22)$$

where $\Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta}$ are the five-dimensional Christoffel symbols. Further, the four-dimensional Riemann curvature tensor

$$r^i{}_{jkl} = \partial_k \gamma^i_{jl} - \partial_l \gamma^i_{jk} + \gamma^m_{jl}\gamma^i_{mk} - \gamma^m_{jk}\gamma^i_{ml}\,, \quad (23)$$

using (22) and (7)–(11), becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} r^i{}_{jkl} \;=\; & E^i_\alpha e^\lambda_j e^\mu_k e^\nu_l R^\alpha{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} + E^i_\alpha(\nabla_\mu n^\alpha)(e^\mu_k K_{lj} - e^\mu_l K_{kj})\\ & + \nabla_l(A^i K_{kj}) - \nabla_k(A^i K_{lj})\\ & + A^i A^m (K_{ml}\, K_{kj} - K_{mk}\, K_{lj})\,, \quad (24)\end{aligned}$$

where $R^\alpha{}_{\lambda\mu\nu}$ is the five-dimensional Riemann curvature tensor. The above is a *generalisation* of the Gauss equations. Taking $A^i = 0$ and $\phi = 1$, one simply recovers the well known Gauss equations (see, for example, [19]):

$$r^i{}_{jkl} \;=\; E^i_\alpha e^\lambda_j e^\mu_k e^\nu_l R^\alpha{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} + K^i_k\, K_{lj} - K^i_l\, K_{kj}\,. \quad (25)$$

Let us now expand the five-dimensional Riemann curvature tensor over our basis. Using its symmetries we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\lambda\mu\nu\sigma} \;=\; & E^i_\lambda E^j_\mu E^k_\nu E^l_\sigma U_{ijkl} +\\ & + \Big[(N_\lambda E^j_\mu - N_\mu E^j_\lambda)E^k_\nu E^l_\sigma\\ & \qquad + (N_\nu E^j_\sigma - N_\sigma E^j_\nu)E^k_\lambda E^l_\mu\Big] V_{jkl}\\ & + (N_\lambda E^j_\mu - N_\mu E^j_\lambda)(N_\nu E^l_\sigma - N_\sigma E^l_\nu)W_{jl}\,, \quad (26)\end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients in this expansion satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{ijkl} &= U_{klij} \;=\; -U_{ijlk} = -U_{jikl}\,, && (27)\\ V_{jkl} &= -V_{jlk}\,, \qquad W_{jl} = W_{lj}\,. && (28)\end{aligned}$$

Using (24), one can further find:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{ijkl} &= e^\lambda_i e^\mu_j e^\nu_k e^\sigma_l R_{\lambda\mu\nu\sigma}\\ &= r_{ijkl} - (\pi_{ik}\,\pi_{lj} - \pi_{il}\,\pi_{kj})\,, && (29)\\ V_{jkl} &= n^\lambda e^\mu_j e^\nu_k e^\sigma_l R_{\lambda\mu\nu\sigma}\\ &= A^i U_{ijkl} - \frac{1}{N}(\nabla_k\,\pi_{lj} - \nabla_l\,\pi_{kj})\,, && (30)\end{aligned}$$

where $\pi_{jl} = \frac{1}{N} K_{jl}$.

Finding the remaining tensor W_{jl} is more complicated. One can easily see that

$$W_{jl} = n^\mu n^\sigma e^\lambda_j e^\nu_l R_{\lambda\mu\nu\sigma} = S_{jl} + A^k V_{lkj}\,, \tag{31}$$

where

$$S_{jl} = \frac{1}{N^2} n^\sigma e^\lambda_j e^\nu_l (\nabla_\lambda Q_{\sigma\lambda} - \nabla_\sigma Q_{\nu\lambda})\,. \tag{32}$$

In the above we identify derivatives in the direction of n^σ. To handle this type of terms, we will have to explicitly invoke the dependence on s.

Firstly, in virtue of (5), we get the following expression for the extrinsic curvature (18):

$$\begin{aligned} K_{jl} \;=\; & -\frac{N^2}{2}(\nabla_j A_l + \nabla_l A_j) + \frac{N^2}{2}\partial_s g_{jl} \\ & -\frac{1}{2} N^\lambda (g_{kl}\partial_j E^k_\lambda + g_{kj}\partial_l E^k_\lambda + A_l \partial_j N_\lambda + A_j \partial_l N_\lambda) \\ & +\frac{N^2}{2} G_{\mu\nu}\Big[A^k \partial_k (e^\mu_j e^\nu_l) - \partial_s (e^\mu_j e^\nu_l)\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Using (20), (31), (32) and (33), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} W_{jl} = & \frac{1}{N^3}\nabla_j \nabla_l N - \frac{2}{N^4}(\partial_j N)(\partial_l N) + A^i A^k U_{ijkl} \\ & +\frac{1}{N}\Big[\nabla_j\,(A^k \pi_{kl}) + \nabla_l\,(A^k \pi_{kj})\Big] - \frac{1}{N} A^k \nabla_k\,\pi_{lj} \\ & +\frac{1}{N^2}\pi_{kl}\,\pi^k_j - \frac{1}{N}\partial_s \pi_{jl} + \Omega_{jl}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where Ω_{jl} contains only terms which are proportional to derivatives of the basis vectors and their duals with respect to (y^k, s).

The five-dimensional Ricci tensor can easily be calculated from (26):

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} \;=\; & E^j_\mu E^l_\nu \Big[h^{ik} U_{ijkl} - N^2 A^k (V_{jkl} + V_{lkj}) + N^2 W_{jl}\Big] \\ & +(N_\mu E^l_\nu + N_\nu E^l_\mu)(-h^{jk} V_{jkl} + N^2 A^j W_{jl}) \\ & +N_\mu N_\nu h^{jl} W_{jl}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Then the five-dimensional Einstein's equations in vacuum

$$R_{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{36}$$

reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} h^{ik} U_{ijkl} - N^2 A^k (V_{jkl} + V_{lkj}) + N^2 W_{jl} \;&=\; 0\,, & (37) \\ h^{jk} V_{jkl} - N^2 A^j W_{jl} \;&=\; 0\,, & (38) \\ h^{jl} W_{jl} \;&=\; 0\,. & (39) \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (37) by A^j and adding it to (38) allows us to exclude W_{jl} from equation (38). Then, using the expressions (29) and (30) for U_{ijkl} and V_{jkl}, (38) becomes:

$$\nabla_k\,\pi^k_l - \nabla_l\,\pi^k_k = 0\,, \tag{40}$$

Equations (40) (as we will see below) are a *generalisation* of Maxwell's equations in a fixed gauge.

One has to make a very important point here. Klein's theory corresponds to a threading decomposition of the five-dimensional spacetime [15]. Rigorous analysis [16] shows that the curvature tensor of the hypersurface formed is given by Zelmanov's curvature tensor, which differs from the ordinary Riemann curvature tensor by additional terms containing s-derivatives of the four-dimensional metric. The cylinder condition forces the two curvature tensors equal and thus represents a surface forming condition. In Kaluza's theory, the foliation of the five-dimensional spacetime corresponds to slicing [15]. Then the four-dimensional metric g_{ij} naturally appears as the slicing metric and imposing a cylinder condition is not at all necessary.

To simplify the analysis of the physics described by the fields A_i, ϕ, and N, we will, however, put aside the s-dependent terms. Also for simplicity, we will assume that the basis elements and their duals are constant (thus recovering the original Kaluza's theory). The tensor Ω_{jl} will then vanish from (34).

Equation (40) becomes:

$$\nabla_k F^{kl} = -2 A_k r^{kl} + \frac{2}{N^2}(\pi^{kl} - \pi^j_j g^{kl})\partial_k N\,. \tag{41}$$

Here $F_{kl} = \nabla_k A_l - \nabla_l A_k$ is the Maxwell electromagnetic tensor with A_k being the electromagnetic potential.

The first term on the right-hand-side of (41) describes an interaction between electromagnetic and gravitational fields. We assume that it is much smaller than the remaining terms, so that we can neglect it. Note that A_k cannot be "gauged up" to increase the scale of $A_k r^{kl}$. Furthermore, if N is a constant, then (41) becomes the usual Maxwell's equations

$$\nabla_k F^{kl} = 0\,. \tag{42}$$

The remaining two equations are:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_k\Big(\frac{f^k}{N}\Big) \;&=\; 0\,, & (43) \\ r_{jl} - \frac{1}{2} g_{jl} r \;&=\; \frac{N^2}{2} T_{jl}\,, & (44) \end{aligned}$$

where $r = g^{ik} r_{ik}$ and r_{jl} are the four-dimensional scalar curvature and four-dimensional Ricci tensor, respectively.

The energy-momentum tensor T_{jl} is therefore given by:

$$T_{jl} = T^{\text{Maxwell}}_{jl} + g^{ik}\nabla_i B_{jlk} + C_{jl} + D_{jl}\,, \tag{45}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\text{Maxwell}}_{jl} \;&=\; g^{ik} F_{ij} F_{kl} - \frac{1}{4} g_{jl} F_{ik} F^{ik}\,, \\ B_{jlk} \;&=\; A_k \nabla_l A_j - A_l \nabla_k A_j - A_j F_{kl} + \nabla_j (A_k A_l) \\ & \quad +g_{jl}(A^i \nabla_k A_i - A_k \nabla_i A^i)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{jl} &= g_{jl}A^iA^kr_{ik} - 2A^iA_lr_{ij} - 2A^iA_jr_{il}\,, &(47)\\
D_{jl} &= \frac{4}{N^4}(\partial_jN)(\partial_lN)\\
&\quad -\frac{2}{N^3}\nabla_j\nabla_lN - \frac{2}{N^2}\pi^k_k(A_l\partial_jN + A_j\partial_lN)\\
&\quad +\frac{2}{N^2}\Big[-A^k\pi_{jl} + A_l\pi^k_j + A_j\pi^k_l\\
&\qquad -g_{jl}(A^i\pi^k_i - A^k\pi^i_i)\Big]\partial_kN\,. &(48)
\end{aligned}
$$

We will analyse each of these terms separately. The first one, T^{Maxwell}_{jl}, is the Maxwell energy-momentum tensor. The tensor C_{jl} describes interaction between electromagnetic and gravitational fields. From (44) we see that, if N^2 is very small (as we will confirm later), then r_{jl} will be of the order of N^2, which justifies the neglection of the interaction terms in (41) and the tensor C_{jl}.

Using (33) in (20) and then (20) in equation (43), we see that a constant solution for N is allowed by equation (43) if ϕ satisfies:

$$\nabla^k\partial_k\,\phi = \frac{1}{2}F^{ik}F_{ik}\,, \qquad (49)$$

where F^{ik} is a solution of (42). For the constant solution for N, the tensor D_{jl} vanishes. Moreover:

$$0 \equiv g^{ij}\nabla_iT_{jl} = g^{ij}\nabla_iT^{\text{Maxwell}}_{jl}\,, \qquad (50)$$

since $g^{ml}g^{nk}\nabla_m\nabla_nB_{jlk} = -\frac{2}{N}\nabla_j\nabla_k(\frac{f^k}{N}) = 0$ in view of (43).

In other words, the conservation law (50) is given by the usual Maxwell energy-momentum tensor T^{Maxwell}_{jl} and N^2 plays the role of the Newton's constant G_N:

$$\frac{N^2}{2} = \frac{8\pi G_N}{c^4}\,. \qquad (51)$$

One has to point out here that in the set-up of Thiry [5], and in [14], $G_N \simeq \phi^2$, where ϕ satisfies (49). This implies that a constant solution for ϕ and, respectively, G_N is only possible when the unphysical constraint $F^{ik}F_{ik} = 0$ is satisfied.

In contrast, in the dual set-up, a constant solution is possible. However, N (together with A_i and g_{ij}) is a solution to the system of equations (41), (43), and (44) and, in general, does not need to be a constant. Then it plays the role of a dilaton field.

To illustrate this, consider the standard cosmological metric [11] with $E^\nu_\mu = \delta^\nu_\mu$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
ds^2_{(5)} &= -s^2dt^2 + t^{2/\alpha}s^{2/(1-\alpha)}(dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2)\\
&\qquad +\alpha^2(1-\alpha)^{-2}t^2ds^2\,. &(52)
\end{aligned}
$$

Changing variables by: $r \to s^\gamma e^{\beta r}$ with $\gamma = -(1/2)(1+\alpha)/(1-\alpha)$ and $t^{1/\alpha} \to a(t)$, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
ds^2_{(5)} &= -s^2\alpha^2[a(t)]^{2\alpha-2}\dot{a}^2(t)dt^2 + 2A_rdrds\\
&\qquad + sa^2(t)e^{2\beta r}(\beta^2dr^2 + d\Omega^2) + \phi ds^2\,, &(53)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A_r = \gamma\beta a^2(t)e^{2\beta r}$, $A_t = A_\varphi = A_\theta = 0$, and $\phi = (\gamma^2/s)a^2(t)e^{2\beta r} + \alpha^2(1-\alpha)^{-2}a^{2\alpha}(t)$. We take β to be a negative constant, so that the field A_r will fall off towards infinity. Since $a(t)$ describes the inflation, we note that the field A_r expands as $a^2(t)$. The dilaton (which models the Newton's constant) varies as:

$$N^2 = (1-\alpha)^2\alpha^{-2}[a(t)]^{-2\alpha}\,. \qquad (54)$$

Thus:

$$\frac{\dot{G}}{G} = -2\alpha\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = -2\alpha H\,, \qquad (55)$$

where H is the Hubble's constant. Observational limits [7] put $\alpha < 10^{-3}$.

One should note that the four-dimensional metric is now s-dependent, but this does not pose a problem in the slicing formulation. Only the term ∂_sg_{jl} from the extrinsic curvature (33) should be recovered.

Finally, we note that the general solution for the dilaton field can be written as:

$$N^2 = \frac{(\det g_{ik})(\det E^\mu_\nu)^2}{\det G_{\mu\nu}}\,, \qquad (56)$$

for a solution $G_{\mu\nu}$ of (36) and embedding specified with E^μ_ν.

To recapitulate, we have found plausable generalisations of Einstein–Maxwell equations and explained the origin of the constant solution for the dilaton (representing the Newton's constant G_N) as well as the possibilities for modelling non-constant solutions for different cosmologies (representing time-varying G_N) in relation to the gauge freedom of our model.

We thank Vesselin Gueorguiev, Brian Dolan, Brien Nolan, Siddhartha Sen and the anonymous referee for useful discussions and comments.

E.M.P. dedicates this work to his son Emanuel.

---

[*] Electronic address: `rossen.ivanov@dcu.ie`
[†] Electronic address: `prodanov@physics.dcu.ie`

[1] Th. Kaluza, Sitzungsberichte Preussische Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin **69**, 966–972 (1921).

[2] O. Klein, Zeitschrift Für Physik **37**, 895–906 (1926).

[3] T. Appelquist (ed.), A. Chodos (ed.) and P.G.O. Freund (ed.), *Modern Kaluza–Klein Theories*, Addison–Wesley Publishing (1987).

[4] P. Jordan and C. Müller, Zeitschrift Für Naturforschung **2**a, 1–2 (1947);

[5] Y. Thiry, Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris **226**, 216–218 (1948).

[6] P.A.M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A**165**, 199–208 (1938).

[7] Th. Damour, G.W. Gibbons and J.H. Taylor, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 1151–1154 (1988);
Th. Damour, G.W. Gibbons and C. Gundlach, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 123–126 (1990).

[8] J. Ponce de Leon, gr-qc/0305041.

[9] E. Garcia-Berro, Yu.A. Kubyshin, P. Loren-Aguilar, gr-qc/0302006.

[10] J.-P. Uzan, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 403 (2003).

[11] J. Ponce de Leon, Gen. Rel. Grav. **20**, 539 (1988).

[12] S. S. Seahra and Paul S. Wesson, Class. Quant. Grav. **20**,1321–1340 (2003).

[13] F. Dahia and C. Romero, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 3097–3106 (2002).

[14] X. Yang, Y. Ma, J. Shao and W. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D**68**, 024006 (2003).

[15] S. Boersma and T. Dray, Gen. Rel. Grav. **27**, 319–339 (1995).

[16] A.L. Zelmanov, Dokl. Akad. USSR **107**, 815 (1956); A.L. Zelmanov, Dokl. Akad. USSR **227**, 78 (1976).

[17] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser and C.W. Misner, in L. Witten (ed.), *Gravitation: an Introduction to Current Research*, 227–265, Wiley (1962).

[18] T. Shiromizu, K. Maeda and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. **D**62, 024012 (2000), gr-qc/9910076.

[19] R.M. Wald, *General Relativity*, University of Chicago Press (1984).